Nowadays, it's <u>an</u> important issue <u>as to whether it is that is it</u> good to share as much information <u>as possible</u> in scientific research <u>as possible</u> or not.? Many people believe that some data like business information or sport information should be publicized, meanwhile, others suppose that some information is too important or too valuable to be shared in the whole community.

In my opinion, when people have <u>the</u> chance to access <u>about</u> the important data that they want to use<u>d</u> <u>it</u> in their work. they can improve and extending their business. This could greatly reduce money spent on the same task and enhance collaboration across the world.

on the other hand I support the idea that negative information should be <u>hidden</u> in public like the information about how to <u>makeingdevelop the a</u> bomb, these techniques would probably be <u>the a</u> root of some global disasters. It only takes a few seconds to get the latest scientific research from the other side of the earth.

In conclusion, the admission to access to the essential information should be open to the whole world; however, vital and dangerous data one should be narrowly provided. And I believe that people who specialize expert in their major should always have the way that they can access to valuable data.